

# Teammate grading form

In the spaces below please fill in letter grades (A+, A, B+, ..., F) for each of your group project teammates *and yourself* in random order and very briefly explain why you are giving them that grade. This should be anonymous, so please do not indicate who you are in any way. No one wants to know. ;)

Group number or name: Diss24

Name	Grade (A+ to F)	Explanation
Hanbi Choi	A+	Choi Hanbi clearly explained why UNOCHA FTS was not suitable for this scenario rather than simply pointing out its limitations. In particular, the connection between the platform's humanitarian aid focus and its limitations for disease-focused ODA analysis was explained well.
Eunchae Yoo	A+	Yoo Eunchae effectively analyzed AIDA Explorer based on actual user experience rather than just describing its functions. Her explanation of platform instability, such as fluctuating search results and repeated resets, made the evaluation convincing.
Hyeonseo Cho	A+	Cho Hyunseo systematically analyzed the strengths and limitations of OECD Data Explorer. The explanation of how usability improved after finding the CRS dataset, along with the discussion of data reliability, was particularly well organized.
Nayun Kim	A+	Kim Nayoon clearly explained why IATI D-Portal was the most effective platform in this scenario. The evaluation was convincing because it highlighted practical strengths such as intuitive search functions, detailed filters, and rich project information.